Last letter in today mails and before being picked up for supper had enough time to write on this.

Mr. Bruce Kurek
734 Coronet Road
Glenview, IL 60025

12/24/91

Dear Mr. Kurek,

Although from your letter I take it that I wrote you something you do not want to believe - I have bo recollection of that letter - and you indicate in this one that you know all there is to know about a subject of which you reflect ignornnce and prejudice, because you intended to inform me, as you did not at all, I do repsond.

Your comment that because Allen Dulles was close to Clare Booth Luce, that is "the link .... to the screwing up of the Zapuder frames." This is ambiguous. I interpret it as meaning the destruction of a few frames in the original only. This identifies you as probably a young fogey and one overwelmed with a fragment from which he draws a full picture - of what does not exist and worse, did not have to.

The original was damaged in Chicago on Saturday, 11/23/63. That was before Dulles was or could have been in any way involved or Luce could have known of it, if she ever did. This also happened before the official mythology was fixed, before anyone could have known whether those frames had any significance. If anyone played games with the film as part of any conspiracy, those are not the frames that would have been assassinated in any event and others are much more likely. Moreover, there were better connections between the CIA and Time Life than Luce, no secret at all. What you do not understand is that people like Time-LIfe do not have to be fixed or reached at all. They do what they do spontaneously, as in publishing six different versions of the one magaine issue over a single weekend, changing what it said about the assassination not by direction from the CIA but to conform with what officials said publicly.

That people like Dulle raised funds for political interventions overseas is not new and it did not begin only after World War II. The money you refer to was handled by Forrestal. I remember reporting it contemporaneously. I was then doing news. Nor is this the only country that did it. Great Britain did much of it nefore, during and after World War II.

So in generosity of spirit you are "overlooking my attitudes to give you (me) something useful." How kind and thoughtful, Your Omniscience! Now if you look into the Dulles family a whole world new to you will appear, like the firm from which he and John came represented Hitler interests and John called Hitler a man of peace the very weekend he invaded Poland....You flaunt you ignoracne all over again in saying that The Establishment will use me if I comment on Stone's exploitation and commercialization. It was not they but I who began the factual and cofrect exposure of what he was up to, after writing him and informing him truthfully about Garrison's book and getting no response.

Garrison's book is a fraud and a travesty. It is of purposeful dishonesty. I take this time without any reason to believe you will be willing to believe anything outside your immature preconceptions but on the remote chance you may make some effort to have your feet make occasional contact with the real world. I was part of some of what he wrote about and what he wrote is false, crudely, blatantly false, in particular, if you have the book, the Boxley firing that in Stone's early script is his basis for alleging a CIA plot. I have that pennydreadful of a script and I gave it to a reporter to begin public exposure of what Stone was pereptrating on the people and truth and out history with so many like you willing to assume it was true. One of several illustrations I gave Stone 2/8/91 before he starting shooting is that to commemorate the fifth assassination anniversary he was going to charge new assassins with no basis at all. Among these was Nobert Perrin, Nancy Perrin Rich's former husband. Only to Garrison's knowledge Perrin had killed himself in 1962-in New Orleans. When the staff, aghast at this utter insanity, could not talk Garrison out of it, two of those closest to him asked me to try. I did the investigation, including in Garrison8s own files and with investigations made for me by his own investigators, of whom he had not asked those investigations, that left it without question that as with just about all else, Garrison was just making it up as he dreamed ot. Boxley's only offenses were an excess of loyality and that he went out an manufactured "evidence" to support what Garrison invented. Think as his staff did, what would have happened if he pulled that in court, the purpose of making charges. I offered Stone more documentation that I attached to that letter and to answer any questions with proof. He wanted no fact or truth and he did not respons. But he proceeded with a film based on a fraudulent book to which he added his own fantasies and jerks like you who are ignorant while thinking they understand and know all there is are ecstatic over it. The grim truth is that the CIA and FBI benefitted from Garrison's utter irresponsibility and dishonesty and not only did not have to intrude to influence it- they didn't want to or have to.

One more comment on Stone: he has been bleating that "all" the official evidence is suppressed and will be until 2039, with a slight change recently when a friend of mine got through his palace guard and wised him up. He knew not later than my letter of 2/8/91 that I have about a quarter or a million pages of what he claims were suppressed and I do not have all that has been disclosed, besides 200+ cubic feet of Commission records in the Archives that were made available beginning in 1965, as soon as they could be processed.

If you want to live inx a childish dream world, fine, but please do not take any more of my time and I'll try to remember, if you do, not to respond. I'm sorry to address you this way but you really do not know what you are talking about and if you ever meet any knowledgeable people they'll regard you as a kid or a nut if you speak s you've written me.

Nonethesless, I do hope you have a good holiday and a good year and that it brings you a little comon sense and maturity and wisdom. Sincerely, Harold Weisberg